

Evaluation Form for Anonymous Single/Double Blind Peer Review

Title of reviewed article

Names of attached files

Referee's comments

Approved and publishable	YES	NO
Revisions requested (please specify below)	YES	NO
Further peer review required after revisions	YES	NO

Indicate any necessary revisions (max. 1,000 characters)

Evaluation (min. 270 characters, max. 1,000)

In particular, evaluations must indicate whether the article satisfies one or more of the following requirements:

- A.** The article's argument is relevant to the journal's scholarly and cultural position.
- B.** The article can be considered original or at any rate timely.
- C.** The arguments outlined in the article are internally coherent and well developed, independently of whether they may or may not be shared.
- D.** The article presents a rethinking of existing critical-scholarly literature, it displays an awareness of the historical development of the ideas discussed, it considers varied points of view even where different or opposed to those of the author and it appears to be informed about the most recent scholarship in its field.
- E.** It is syntactically and grammatically correct, it flows, is easily followed and reads enjoyably.